Interview Summary	10/580,198	NAKAMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cathy Lam	1794	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Cathy Lam</u> .	(3) <u>Appt's Rep. Gus Pappas</u> .		
(2) <u>Appt's Rep. Robert Murray</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 October 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊠ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all pending</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)∏ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>examiner proposed to including description of the general reached.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Cathy Lam/			

Application No.

Applicant(s)